THE
Dan Smoot Report

Vol. 12, No. 29 (Broadcast 569) July 18, 1966 Dallas, Texas



DAN SMOOT

HOW TO ROLL BACK THE SOCIALIST REVOLUTION

The deterioration of every government begins with the decay of the principles on which it was founded.
— *Montesquieu,* The Spirit of Laws

"There are those who still think they are holding the pass against the revolution that may be coming up the road. But they are gazing in the wrong direction. The revolution is behind them. It went by in the Night of Depression, singing songs of freedom.

"There are those who have never ceased to say very earnestly, 'Something is going to happen to the American form of government if we don't watch out.' These were the innocent disarmers. Their trust was in words. They had forgotten their Aristotle. More than 2,000 years ago he wrote of what can happen within the form, when 'one thing takes the place of another, so that the ancient laws will remain, while the power will be in the hands of those who have brought about revolution in the state.'"

Those lines from the late Garet Garrett's magnificent *People's Pottage* allude to the socialist revolution which rolled over America during the first 100 days of Franklin D. Roosevelt's administration.

How did it happen?

The American people were victimized by a band of intellectual revolutionists who seized control of government and then used the massive power of government to advance the revolution.

In 1929, our nation was in trouble — deep trouble. There was nothing wrong or inadequate about our constitutional system. American capitalism was not sick of disease or old age or organic weakness: it was suffering from grievous wounds inflicted by the government meddling of World War I.

The great depression did not come because the American system of private capitalism had worn itself out and collapsed. The depression resulted from the distortions and dislocations in our economy caused by government action during and following the war.

THE DAN SMOOT REPORT is published weekly by The Dan Smoot Report, Inc., Box 9538, Dallas, Texas 75214 (office at 6441 Gaston Ave.). Subscriptions: $18.00 for 2 years; $10.00, 1 year; $6.00, 6 months; first class, $12.50 a year; airmail, $14.50. Dan Smoot was born in Missouri, reared in Texas. With BA and MA degrees from SMU (1938 and 1940), he joined the Harvard faculty (1941) as a Teaching Fellow, doing graduate work in American civilization. From 1942 to 1951, he was an FBI agent; from 1951 to 1955, a commentator on national radio and television. In 1955, he started his present independent, free-enterprise business: publishing this REPORT and abbreviating it each week for radio and TV broadcasts available for commercial sponsorship by business firms.

Copyright by Dan Smoot, 1966. Second Class mail privilege authorized at Dallas, Texas
No Reproductions Permitted.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

The solution for our economic problems in 1929 was not to abandon our old American system but to return to it.

Americans knew this instinctively. That is why they listened in 1929 to a persuasive voice that sang the song of freedom:

"Our nation has been a successful experiment in democratic government, because the individual states have waived in only a few instances their sovereign rights . . . . But there is a tendency, and to my mind a dangerous tendency, on the part of our national government, to encroach, on one excuse or another, more and more upon state supremacy. The elastic theory of interstate commerce, for instance, has been stretched almost to the breaking point to cover certain regulatory powers desired by Washington."

That was Franklin D. Roosevelt, then governor of New York, addressing a conference of governors on July 16, 1929.

By 1930, dark shadows were lengthening across our land. Roosevelt again warned the people to return to the original federal system created by the Founding Fathers. In a radio address on March 2, 1930, he said:

"Under the 18th Amendment Congress has been given the right to legislate on this particular subject [liquor], but this is not the case in the matter of a great number of other vital problems of government, such as the conduct of public utilities, of banks, of insurance, of business, of agriculture, of education, of social welfare and of a dozen other important features. In these, Washington must not be encouraged to interfere."

In 1932, Roosevelt was elected, not on a New Deal or socialist platform, but on a conservative platform of states' rights. He had promised to reduce federal expenditures, cut down the power and size of the federal government, and permit political power to return to the individual states where, according to the Constitution, it belongs.

Once in office, however, Roosevelt went in the opposite direction. By the time Roosevelt had to face voters for re-election, the New Deal propaganda machine, financed with taxpayers' money, had already been operating for over three years. Every person who stood up to criticize Roosevelt or his policies was sneered at and vilified by the President, the President's wife, cabinet officers, and leading opinion formers in all occupations.

The principal obstacle to socialism in the United States was our federal system. Our original Constitution and Bill of Rights provided that most of the dangerous powers of government — those directly touching the lives of the people — should remain in the state capitals and not in the national capital. With political power thus distributed among sovereign and competing states, no one could impose socialism on the entire nation.

The Founding Fathers left no loophole which would enable the central government to destroy the federal system by usurping powers reserved for state governments; but 20 years before Roosevelt was elected, two fatal loopholes had been made. Even with a submissive Congress, a revolutionary Supreme Court of his own choosing, and a popularity that made him seem politically invincible, Roosevelt could not have converted our old form of limited federal government into a centralized government of unlimited power, without the 16th and 17th amendments to the Constitution (both adopted in 1913). Getting these two amendments was the first major objective of the socialist movement in the United States. Without them, the socialist revolution which began in the 1930's would not have been possible.

Our original Constitution limited the power of the federal government to levy direct taxes on the people. The 16th Amendment gives the government unlimited power "to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived."

Our original Constitution stipulated that U. S. Senators should be chosen by state legislatures. This preserved the idea and actuality of a federation. The limited power of the federal government was further limited by division of legislative power between two rival Houses of Congress, each answerable to a *different* electorate: the

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Senate repersenting *state governments*; the House representing *individual voters*. The 17th Amendment, providing for direct election of U. S. Senators, weakened this marvelous check-and-balance on federal power, and left state governments without representation in the national Congress.

Franklin D. Roosevelt, the first President to take full advantage of the federal taxing power latent in the 16th Amendment, expanded federal taxing until state governments were left without adequate tax revenues.

With no representation in the national legislature to help check the mushrooming growth of federal power, state governments turned beggars, asking for subsidies from Washington, becoming wards and tools of the federal bureaucracy; and the people lost interest in their state governments. All eyes turned to Washington for handouts and directions.

By 1952, the socialist revolution was so far advanced that Norman Thomas (socialist candidate for President in six elections: 1928 through 1948) decided not to run again, saying wryly that Democrats and Republicans had stolen his platform. Thomas acknowledged that Americans had rejected socialism emphatically when it was offered forthrightly as socialism, but had accepted it when presented as "welfare state" measures by Democrats and Republicans.

Just as Garet Garrett said, the people had put their trust in words.

They put their trust in words again in 1952. Having come to realize that Roosevelt-Truman programs were dragging us deep into the quagmire of socialism, voters elected General Eisenhower and a Republican-controlled Congress. Eisenhower had vowed to "clean up the mess in Washington"; had decried federal aid to education and other unconstitutional, unnecessary, and harmful federal spending programs; had promised balanced budgets and constitutional government. The promised clean-up became a whitewash; Eisenhower's foreign policy was identical with Truman's; his domestic program in many ways out-dealed both the New Deal and the Fair Deal.

The Eisenhower reign emasculated the anti-communist, anti-socialist movement in the United States, leaving it leaderless and confused. There was no enthusiasm for the presidential election of 1960, because Kennedy and Nixon offered identical programs.

John F. Kennedy, as President, clarified the essential political conflict in America. Kennedy gathered around him a group of men whose dedication to socialism was well known. Whereas the Eisenhower image had confused much of the public about the true meaning of federal programs, the same programs presented by Kennedy were so readily recognizable as revolutionary that they aroused conservative instincts of the people.

By 1963, the conservative movement had regained its lost vigor. New Frontier programs were bogged down in Congress. Conservatives, feeling certain that John F. Kennedy was politically doomed, impatiently awaited 1964, confident that any good constitutionalist could defeat the President.

Then came the assassination. The emotional backwash of that tragedy was the major factor in Johnson's 1964 victory. Ruthlessly exploiting the nation's sorrow, totalitarian liberals blamed anti-communists for the dastardly deed of a communist. Johnson cynically capitalized on the situation. Congress (as if trying to make public atonement for having opposed Kennedy's programs) enacted New Frontier legislation that had had no chance of getting out of committee prior to the assassination. An inexplicable feeling of guilt settled upon conservatives who had opposed Kennedy politically! They winced at being called *extremists* — this having become a label of hate with connotations making it almost synonymous with *assassins*. The greatest conservative movement of this century foundered in a national trauma.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

In the first year after his election, Johnson pushed more dangerous and unconstitutional legislation through Congress than all four of the Presidents who immediately preceded him.

The federal government has become a colossal international welfare agency which arbitrarily seizes as much of every American's property as it wants and scatters that property all over the earth in performing its illegal, self-appointed roles of policeman, loan broker, and Santa Claus for the world.

Yet, there is hope, because the people are once again stirring uneasily. The ghastly mess in Vietnam; rising living costs; soaring crime rates; worldwide contempt for America by people whom we have supported and defended for years; widespread waste, corruption, and political favoritism fobbed off on the public as a war on poverty; the government-supported civil rights revolution which seems headed either for civil war or for surrender of our sacred rights to a militant minority of white-hating negro agitators — these glaring conditions are causing President Johnson's popularity to sink to a new low every month.

Constitutionalists must make the public realize, however, that these conditions are not attributable to the personal qualities of Lyndon B. Johnson: they are the inevitable consequence of the socialist policies which Johnson and the four Presidents preceding him have followed for 33 years. It would do no good to vote present totalitarian liberals out of the White House and Congress, only to replace them with others who have the same political philosophy.

We must find and elect — first to the Congress, then to the Presidency — constitutionalists who will dismantle the federal socialist welfare state and compel the government to operate within the bounds of constitutional authority. And to make sure that *they* perform as they promise — to avoid the error of once again putting our trust in words — we must erect anew at least one of the bulwarks against tyranny which the 16th and 17th Amendments tore down. *Limit the taxing power of the federal government, and politicians could not buy and keep power at our expense — could not destroy our Republic with our own money.*

In short, one certain way to roll back the socialist revolution is to adopt the Liberty Amendment, introduced in Congress by U. S. Representative James B. Utt, now pending as HJR 23. This amendment would repeal the 16th (income tax) Amendment, specify that treaties cannot override our Constitution, and prohibit the federal government from engaging in any enterprise except as authorized by the Constitution.

An organized national effort on behalf of the Liberty Amendment is being made. For a large packet of detailed information, facts, and figures, send $1.00 to the Liberty Amendment Committee of the U.S.A., 6413 Franklin Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90028.

SUGGESTION: Write your U. S. Representative, urging him to support the Liberty Amendment (HJR 23). Address him at the House Office Building, Washington, D. C. You can get his name from a local library or newspaper office. Inform and activate others by distributing copies of this *Report*.

The Bondage Of The Free

The Bondage of the Free, 381-page paperback book on the racial problem, by Kent H. Steffgen, is now available from *The Dan Smoot Report*: 1 copy $1.00; 5 for $3.75; 10 for $7.00; 25 for $16.75; 50 for $32.50; 100 for $60.00.

REPRINTS OF THIS ISSUE
(for bulk mailing to one address):

1 copy	$ .25	100 copies	$ 6.50
10 copies	1.00	200 copies	12.00
25 copies	2.00	500 copies	28.00
50 copies	3.50	1000 copies	50.00

Texans Add 2% for Sales Tax

THE DAN SMOOT REPORT TAylor 1-2303
BOX 9538 DALLAS, TEXAS 75214

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED